A peer-reviewed open-access journal
NeoBiota
Advancing research on alien species and biological invasions

NeoBiota 99: 1–18 (2025)
DOI: 10.3897/neobiota.99.143495

Research Article

Similar alpha yet varied beta functional diversities between invasive and native plant species along an elevational gradient

Yan-Yan Wang[1], Kun Guo[1], Rui-Ling Liu[1], Hasigerili[1], Miao-Miao Zheng[1], Yuan Gao[1], Ming-Shui Zhao[2], Jian Zhang[3], Wen-Yong Guo[1,4]

1 *Zhejiang Tiantong Forest Ecosystem National Observation and Research Station, Institute of Eco-Chongming, and Research Center for Global Change and Ecological Forecasting, School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200241, China*
2 *Tianmushan National Nature Reserve Management Bureau, Hangzhou, 311311, China*
3 *School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China*
4 *Zhejiang Zhoushan Island Ecosystem Observation and Research Station, Zhoushan, 316000, China*

Corresponding author: Wen-Yong Guo (wyguo@des.ecnu.edu.cn)

Abstract

Illuminating the invasive strategies of alien species in mountainous regions is critical to preventing the increasing frequency of invasion events and enhancing our understanding of the vulnerability of these ecosystems. Here, we investigated differences in diversity between invasive and native species across an elevational gradient through field experiments conducted along a 1200 m range, combined with measurements of plant functional traits and environmental factors. Our results revealed significant distinctions in diversity patterns between invasive and native species when considering multiple aspects of taxonomic and functional diversity at both α and β levels. Native species showed clear species replacement along elevation, while invasive species at higher elevations tended to be a subset of those found at lower elevations. Although invasive and native species shared relatively similar functional α diversity, they exhibited more significantly different functional β diversity. Elevation-related environmental factors played a major role in shaping functional dissimilarity and species similarity across plots. In contrast, functional redundancy at both α and β levels was more influenced by species status. Our findings highlight that invasive species exhibit a dissimilar strategy compared to native species along the elevational gradient and emphasize the importance of decreasing the introduction of alien species to better manage and prevent plant invasions in mountainous regions.

Key words: Environmental changes, functional redundancy, invasive plants, mountainous invasion, multi-dimensional diversities

OPEN ACCESS

Academic editor: Elizabeth Wandrag
Received: 4 December 2024
Accepted: 21 April 2025
Published: 22 May 2025

Citation: Wang Y-Y, Guo K, Liu R-L, Hasigerili, Zheng M-M, Gao Y, Zhao M-S, Zhang J, Guo W-Y (2025) Similar alpha yet varied beta functional diversities between invasive and native plant species along an elevational gradient. NeoBiota 99: 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.99.143495

Copyright: © Yan-Yan Wang et al.
This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

Introduction

Biological invasions significantly undermine biodiversity and ecosystem services, posing substantial risks to the economy, food security, and human health (Pyšek and Richardson 2010; IPBES 2023). Mountain ecosystems, global hotspots of biodiversity, were once considered less vulnerable to invasions (Pauchard et al. 2009; Carboni et al. 2018), whereas recent evidence shows that a rapid increase in invasive species is now occurring even in the areas of high elevations (Guo et al. 2018a; Kueffer et al. 2013; Petitpierre et al. 2016; Zheng et al. 2024). This surge in invasions is drawing greater attention to their potential impacts on

mountainous regions (Alexander et al. 2011; Pyšek et al. 2011; Alexander et al. 2016; Iseli et al. 2023), such as significant declines in native endemic species and thus species composition homogenization (Daehler 2005; Dainese et al. 2017; Haider et al. 2018; Haider et al. 2022).

As invasive species continue to spread upward, researchers are increasingly concerned with whether biodiversity patterns differ between native and invasive species (Arévalo et al. 2005; Haider et al. 2010; Guo et al. 2018a). Extensive evidence suggests a more pronounced negative trend with elevations in invasive species richness, in contrast to the unimodal or more negative patterns among native species (Guo et al. 2013; Guo et al. 2018a; Haider et al. 2018). Initially proposed to depict the compositional differences among different sites (Whittaker 1960, 1972), β diversity's variations along elevational gradients have been used to elaborate on the mechanisms of invasion in mountain regions (Kraft et al. 2011; Marini et al. 2013; Du et al. 2021). While debates persist regarding β diversity patterns along elevational gradients, numerous studies demonstrate a monotonic decrease for native species (Mori et al. 2013; Tello et al. 2015; Sabatini et al. 2018). Conversely, less emphasis has been placed on the β diversity patterns of invasive species in mountainous regions, although it is acknowledged that invasive species tend to display lower species replacement along elevation gradients (Alexander et al. 2011; Marini et al. 2013; Steyn et al. 2017; Rana et al. 2024).

Taxonomic diversity provides certain measurements of biodiversity, but it does not consider the differences among species, such as functional aspects (Jarzyna and Jetz 2016; Ricotta and Pavoine 2024). Functional diversity, which pertains to the scope and value of traits in the community and reflects disparities/similarities in ecological niches among species (Tilman 2001), holds significant implications for community relationships and the community's response to environmental change, and thus ecosystem functioning (Hillebrand et al. 2008; Hillebrand et al. 2008; Suding et al. 2008; de Bello et al. 2021). Investigating the functional difference between invasive and native species along elevational gradients can enhance our understanding of invasive strategies along the gradients (Ordonez et al. 2010; Divíšek et al. 2018). Studies have demonstrated that the native species are likely to show negative or unimodal functional α diversity-elevation patterns (Lee et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2014; Thakur and Chawla 2019; Ratier Backes et al. 2023), and an upward tendency of functional β diversity with elevation (Swenson et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2023; Lin et al. 2024). However, summarizing the patterns of functional diversity for invasive species along elevational gradients remains challenging due to the limited amount of research. Given the complexity and importance of functional diversity, a more comprehensive framework is needed to better evaluate the multiple biodiversity patterns across these gradients (Fukami et al. 2005; Cardoso et al. 2014; Bishop et al. 2015; Shen et al. 2024). More importantly, exploring the differences in diversity between native and invasive species aids in seeing the big picture of how invasive species take advantage of potentially stronger competitive capacity than native species along elevation to spread upward (Divíšek et al. 2018).

Recently, a novel framework has been proposed that integrates both taxonomic and functional diversity into mathematical algorithms and ecological theory, providing a comprehensive tool for examining each of the α and β biodiversity from multiple perspectives simultaneously (Ricotta et al. 2023; Ricotta and Pavoine 2024). Specifically, this framework decomposes traditional taxonomic α diversity (S, Simpson's diversity) into α functional diversity (Rao's Q, reflecting species' trait

variations within sites) and α functional redundancy (R, representing the similarity between species pairs within sites), along with a complementary measure of taxonomic diversity, taxonomic similarity (D, Simpson's dominance, emphasizing dominant species within sites) (Rao 1982; de Bello et al. 2007). Together, these three indices form a unified framework, providing a more exhaustive and consistent approach to elaborating the complex mechanisms driving community assemblages and biological invasions. Similarly, traditional taxonomic β diversity (D_{BC}, classical Bray-Curtis dissimilarity) is decomposed into β functional dissimilarity (D_{KG}, algorithmic dissimilarity coefficient, reflecting functional dissimilarity between species pairs among sites) and β functional redundancy (R_{β}, quantifying shared functionality among species across sites), with species similarity (S_{BC}, reflecting compositional similarity among sites) (Bray and Curtis 1957; Ricotta et al. 2021; Ricotta and Pavoine 2024). Species dominance (D) and the similarity of species across different sites are indicative of taxonomic stability, and functional redundancy at both α (R) and β (R_{β}) levels suggests functional stability. These factors are closely linked to the overall stability of the ecosystem. Additionally, functional diversity (Q) and the dissimilarity between sites (D_{KG}) represent the distinct ecological strategies employed by native and invasive species along elevation gradients, reflecting changes in their fitness. These novel frameworks provide a more holistic perspective on both the compositional and functional dynamics within ecosystems. By highlighting differences in diversity, the framework underscores the strategies of invasive species in mountainous regions and sheds light on potential invasion mechanisms from multiple perspectives.

Here, we constructed an experiment in the West Tianmu Mountain National Nature Reserve, located in Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, one of the economic centers in East China, where invasive species have been found across all elevations, posing a significant threat to the local environment and economy (Hasigerili et al. 2023). Using data collected from an elevational gradient exceeding 1200 m, we calculated decomposed α and β diversity indices following the methods of Ricotta and Pavoine (2023, 2024). By integrating multiple aspects of taxonomic and functional biodiversity, we aimed to address the following questions: (1) what are the biodiversity patterns of invasive and native species at high and low elevations? (2) how do species status (i.e., invasive vs. native) and environmental factors influence these biodiversity patterns? For both α and β diversities, we hypothesize that, compared to natives, invasive species exhibit lower taxonomic diversity and reduced functional diversity but higher functional redundancy. Moreover, we expect these differences to be more pronounced at higher elevations, likely due to dispersal limitations and stronger environmental filtering, which may restrict the establishment of invasive species lacking specific traits.

Material and methods

Study area and experimental design

The experiment was carried out at the West Tianmu National Natural Reserve. Located in a subtropical climate region (30°18'-30°21'N, 119°24'-119°27'E), the mountain's elevational gradient ranges from 300 m to 1,506 m a.s.l., with an average annual temperature of 8.8 °C at the summit and 14.8 °C at the foot of the hill (Jiang et al. 2023).

We established 1 m^2 plots being 1–3 m from the roadside at low (< 500 m) and high (> 1000 m) elevations, given the presence of either cliff or rocks alongside roads between 500 and 1000 m, rendering it unsuitable for setting up surveying plots. In total, we established 15 low-elevation plots and 22 high-elevation plots, with a minimum horizontal distance of 50 m between sample plots to reduce spatial autocorrelation.

Plot survey and trait measurement

We identified the species in the plots based on the "Flora of Tianmu Mountain" (Chen et al. 2010). All identifiable plant species were recorded in each plot and the abundance of each species was estimated. We defined invasive species in our plots based on records of "A dataset on catalogue of alien plants in China" (Ma 2020; Lin et al. 2022). Species for which the "dataset" has a record of invasive status were categorized as invasive, and species with no records of alien status were categorized as native. There were 77 native species and 12 invasive species in all investigated plots.

To capture the plant's functional information, we measured nine functional traits for every species. Ten mature and healthy individuals of each species were selected to measure their height (cm), ground diameter (mm), and leaf thickness (mm) in situ. Three mature leaves were collected from the measured individuals and brought back to the laboratory in a cooling box to measure leaf area (mm^2), fresh weight (mg), dry weight (mg) after drying, and leaf nitrogen content (%). For species with fewer than 10 individuals, trait information was measured for all mature and healthy individuals. In addition, we calculated specific leaf area (the ratio of leaf area and leaf dry weight, mm^2/mg) and leaf dry matter content (the ratio of leaf dry weight and leaf fresh weight, mg/mg). We further calculated the mean value of each trait for each species.

Environmental variables

For each plot, the temperature (°C) of soil (6 cm below ground, T.soil), ground (T. ground), air (15 cm above ground, T.air), and soil humidity (%) were obtained using a TMS-4 recorder (Wild et al. 2019). Canopy density and slope were measured on-site. A fisheye lens was used to shoot at a distance of one meter above the plot center, and the canopy density was calculated via "Canopeo" (Patrignani and Ochsner 2015). We measured the slope of each plot with an instrumental slope angle meter. We collected soil samples in each plot following the three-point sampling method in which three corners of the plot were randomly selected to collect soil cores with a diameter of 5 cm and a length of 10 cm and then well mixed. For each soil sample, soil pH, silt content (Silt, %), clay content (Clay, %), sand content (Sand, %), total phosphorus content (TP, g/kg), total nitrogen content (TN, g/kg), total carbon content (TC, g/kg), carbon to nitrogen ratio (C/N, %), carbon to phosphorus ratio (C/P, %), nitrogen to phosphorus ratio (N/P, %), nitrate nitrogen content (NH_4^+, mg/kg), ammonium nitrogen content (NO_3^-, mg/kg), nitrate-ammonium nitrogen ratio (NH_4^+/NO_3^-, %), electrical conductivity (SEC, %), and water content (SWC, %) were obtained (Bremner 1960; Bowman 1988; Liu et al. 2021).

Taxonomic diversity and composition

Species richness was calculated for native and invasive species separately in each plot. To demonstrate the differences in species composition among species status along the elevation, we performed the Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) based on Bray-Curtis distances with the R package Vegan (Oksanen et al. 2024).

Functional α diversity

To compute native and invasive species' functional diversity per plot, we scaled the trait matrices and calculated the relative functional Euclidean distance (the ratio of the original functional distance to the maximum functional distance) between species within plots. Simpson's dominance (D) is the probability that two individuals randomly selected from a plot will belong to the same species and is a complementary index of Simpson's diversity. Functional diversity (Q), Rao's quadratic diversity, is the mean of the dissimilarities between each pair of species *i* and *j* (d_{ij}) within plots, weighted by the species abundance (Rao 1982). Functional redundancy (R) is the difference between the classical Simpson diversity and functional diversity (Q) (de Bello et al. 2007). Based on the transformed functional matrix, we calculated Simpson's dominance (D) and functional diversity (Q) using the "avid" package in R by Equations (1–2) and functional redundancy (R) by Equation (3) (Pavoine 2020).

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 \quad (1)$$

$$Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} p_i p_j d_{ij} \quad (2)$$

$$R = 1 - D - Q \quad (3)$$

where N is the number of species in each plot, p is the species' relative abundance in each plot, and d_{ij} is the distance function between the *i*-th and *j*-th species.

Functional β diversity

Species similarity S_{BC} is the complementary index of classical Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, which depicts the taxonomic similarity based on the species relative abundance between plots ($d_{ij=1}$, *i* and *j* denote two different species) (Bray and Curtis 1957; Kosman 2014; Ricotta and Pavoine 2024). Functional dissimilarity D_{KG} is the actual functional difference among plots based on functional distances between pairs of species i and j weighted by species relative abundances (Ricotta et al. 2021). Functional redundancy R_{β}, the difference between S_{BC} and D_{KG}, represents the functional similarities between plots (Ricotta and Pavoine 2024). S_{BC}, D_{KG} and R_{β} between plots in the high and low elevation were computed with the functions '*betaUniqueness*' of the R package "adiv" by Equations (4–6), respectively (Pavoine 2020; Ricotta and Pavoine 2024).

$$S_{BC} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} min\{p_{jh}, p_{jk}\} \quad (4)$$

$$D_{KG} = min_{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \pi(i,j) \times d_{ij} \quad (5)$$

$$R_{\beta} = 1 - S_{BC} - D_{KG} \quad (6)$$

where N is the number of species in each plot, p_{jh} and p_{jk} are the *j*-th species' relative abundance in the *h*-th and *k*-th plot respectively $\pi(i,j)$ is the *i*-th species' relative abundance in the *h*-th plot matched with *j*-th species in the *k*-th plot, and d_{ij} is the functional distance between the *i*-th and *j*-th species.

Statistical analyses

The results of functional α and β diversity were illustrated on the ternary diagram by the R package "ggplot2" (Wickham et al. 2024). To compare the decomposed functional diversity of native and invasive species in different elevations, we applied one-way ANOVA for each decomposed functional α and β diversity index. Multiple comparisons were then performed using the "TukeyHSD" method by the R package "multcomp" and the results of the comparisons were corrected by the "holm" method (Hothorn et al. 2008).

To explore how species status and environmental factors affect the different aspects of functional α and β diversity, we first applied a principal component analysis (PCA) for all environmental factors with the R package "FactoMineR", and the first two PC axes that explained 61.6% variance of the environmental factors were used as the environmental variables (Appendix 1: Fig. A1) (Lê et al. 2008). We constructed linear mixed models with different diversity indices as response variables, environmental PC1 and PC2 as fixed effects, and the species status as a random intercept with the R package "lme4" (Bates et al. 2015). Alternatively, we fitted the model with environmental PC1 and PC2 as fixed effects and species status as random intercepts and slopes, but ultimately excluded it because model performance did not improve significantly. We then extracted the proportions explained by the different factors (environmental PC1, PC2, status, and residual) for those diversity indices from our models via the R package "glmm.hp" (Lai et al. 2022, 2023).

All data analyses were carried out in R version 4.3.1 (R Core Team 2023).

Results

Differences in species richness and community composition

Noticeably, all of the surveyed plots observed the presence of invasive species. Native plant species exhibited significantly higher species richness compared to invasive species, irrespective of elevation ($p < 0.05$). Additionally, there was no significant difference in species richness between low and high elevations for either native or invasive species (Fig. 1A). The species composition of native species varied significantly in the plots between low and high elevations (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the invasive species at high elevations were predominantly a subset of those at low elevations (Fig. 1C)

Functional α and β diversity

Overall, functional α and β diversity differed between invasive and native species, with especially pronounced distinctions in functional β diversity (Fig. 2). In terms of functional α diversity, invasive species tended to cluster in the lower left corner of the α ternary diagram (Fig. 2A), representing higher species dominance (D)



Figure 1. (**A**) Differences in species richness of native species (Native) and invasive species (Invasive) between the low and high elevations. Different letters indicate a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) from multiple post hoc comparisons with holm-adjustment of one-way ANOVA. Two-dimensional NMDS ordination of all plots showed differences in species composition of (**B**) Native and (**C**) Invasive species at different elevations (the stress values equal to 0.12 and 0.04, respectively). Shadow ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals around the centroids for the point types.



Figure 2. Ternary diagrams of functional (**A**) α diversity and (**C**) β diversity for native and invasive species at low and high elevations. One-way ANOVA with holm-adjusted multiple comparisons for each of the functional (**B**) α diversity and (**D**) β diversity components were shown in boxplots, with different letters indicating significant differences ($p < 0.05$). D, species dominance within plots; Q, functional diversity within plots; R, functional redundancy within plots; S_{BC}, species similarity among plots; D_{KG}, functional dissimilarity among plots; and R_{β}, functional redundancy among plots.

and lower functional redundancy (R) than natives (Fig. 2B). However, functional diversity (Q) did not differ significantly between invasive and native species, although invasive species at higher elevations exhibited considerably lower values. In addition, functional α diversity remained consistent across elevations for both invasive and native species (Fig. 2B). In contrast, differences in β diversity were more pronounced between the two groups. Specifically, invasive species tended to occupy the lower section of the β ternary diagram, indicating higher species similarity (S_{BC}) and reduced functional redundancy (R_{β}). At higher elevations, invasive species were more frequently positioned towards the left side of the β ternary, reflecting increased S_{BC} and decreased functional dissimilarity (D_{KG}) (Fig. 2C, D).

Status and environment effects on functional α and β diversity

Species status and the first two environmental PCs (PC1 and PC2) collectively explained a substantial portion of the variation in functional α and β diversity (Fig. 3), accounting for 56.06% to 92.42% of the total variation. However, this pattern did not extend to functional diversity Q, where 80.13% of the variation remained unexplained by factors included in the model. Notably, species status emerged as the dominant factor, contributing between 18.60% and 91.80% across all metrics, with the least influence on Q (18.60%) and the greatest impact on R_{β} (91.80%).



Figure 3. Stacked bar plots demonstrate the explained variation in functional α (**A**) and (**B**) β diversity. Each bar represents the contribution of species status (invasive/native), the first two PC axes derived from environmental factors, and residuals. D, species dominance within plots; Q, functional diversity within plots; R, functional redundancy within plots; S_{BC}, species similarity among plots; D_{KG}, functional dissimilarity among plots; and R_{β}, functional redundancy among plots.

Discussion

With the increasing frequency of invasion events in mountainous regions, the differences in multiple diversity patterns between invasive and native species deserve deeper exploration to reduce the consequential damage that would follow. Considering the complexity and lack of harmonization of multiple diversity, we integrated taxonomic and functional information via decomposed α and β diversity methods to reveal the differences in diversity patterns between invasive and native species along an elevational gradient. We found that species of distinct statuses at separate

elevations held marked differences in patterns of multiple diversity, especially in β diversity, and the explanatory power of drivers was divergent among indices.

Consistent with our hypotheses, invasive species displayed higher species dominance (D) and species similarity (S_{BC}) compared to native species. Our observation revealed that invasive species found at higher elevations were a subset of the invasive species at lower elevations. Notably, invasive species at high elevations, *Erigeron annuus* (L.) Pers., *Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronquist, *Bidens frondosa* L., *Solidago canadensis* L., *Crassocephalum crepidioides* (Benth.) S. Moore, all belonging to the Asteraceae family, predominantly adopted the ruderal strategy, characterized by traits like rapid dispersal and reproduction (Grime 1974; Hasigerili et al. 2023). These ruderal invasive species demonstrated stronger adaptability and greater abundance (Alexander et al. 2011), resulting in higher species dominance and species similarity at higher elevations. In contrast, native species showed greater species richness and lower species dominance, mainly due to the presence of numerous locally rare species with low abundance. Furthermore, native species showed a stronger elevational preference, with many species occurring only at specific elevations, unlike the invasive species at high elevations, which were generalists and distributed throughout the entire elevation range (Marini et al. 2013; Steyn et al. 2017). Our results align with previous findings of distinct community assembly mechanisms between invasive and native species, with the former initially establishing at lower elevations with a subset spreading upward, and the latter showing minimal overlap between low and high elevations (Marini et al. 2013; McDougall et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2018).

The functional diversity differences between invasive and native species further clarified the competitive strategies of invasive species. At lower elevations, invasive species occupied functional niche widths comparable to those of native species, suggesting they had an equivalent advantage in colonization despite lower species richness and shorter residence time. Remarkably, at higher elevations, invasive species exhibited lower functional α diversity but higher functional β diversity compared to native species. The reduced functional diversity Q of invasive species at higher elevations was related to their lower species richness, though a substantial proportion remained unexplained. In our study, many invasive species from the same family exhibited significant trait dissimilarity across different plots. The greater trait dissimilarity of invasive species compared to native species across elevations indicates a stronger resistance of these ruderal-strategy invasive species to environmental filtering (Hasigerili et al. 2023). Furthermore, in line with previous findings that environmental filtering can reduce functional β diversity (Perez Rocha et al. 2018; Diniz et al. 2021), we found that environmental factors (environmental PC1 and PC2) had a relatively high explanatory power for functional dissimilarity. Specifically, elevation-related factors such as temperature and soil nutrients (environmental PC1, Appendix 1: Fig. A1) played a more significant role than other factors like soil pH and SEC (environmental PC2), highlighting the critical influence of elevation in shaping diversity patterns. Our findings indicate that intensified human disturbances associated with lowland tourism development lead to resource fluctuations, creating opportunities for invasive species colonization and promoting trait divergence in both invasive and native species (Blumenthal 2006; Grime 2006). As disturbance intensity declines with elevation, both invasive and native assemblages exhibit reduced functional dissimilarity. Notably, four out of five invasive species at high elevations originate from North America, which shares similarities in climate, vegetation types,

and floristic composition with China (Qian et al. 2017). In our study, these similar environmental conditions between native and introduced ranges could facilitate the rapid establishment of ruderal invasive species with pre-adapted traits (e.g., higher SLA, nutrient-rich foliage, and taller plant heights) in recipient ecosystems (van Kleunen et al. 2010; Guo et al. 2018b; Hasigerili et al. 2023).

The observed pattern of functional redundancy in our study was counterintuitive, as invasive species, despite having greater species dominance (D) and species similarity (S_{BC}), showed lower functional α and β redundancy compared to native species. This can probably be explained by the limiting similarity hypothesis (MacArthur and Levins 1967), where functional niche differentiation and reduced trait redundancy minimize competition among closely related invasive species, thus promoting their coexistence (Grime 2006; Kraft et al. 2014). Furthermore, environmental differences between low and high elevations had minimal impact on functional α and β redundancy for both invasive and native species, indicating that biotic interactions may play a more dominant role than environmental conditions in shaping local community functional similarity. In addition, despite substantial changes in species composition, functional composition remained relatively stable, thus promoting community resilience (Biggs et al. 2020; Jarzyna et al. 2022). Communities with higher functional redundancy are likely more resistant to harsh conditions and are less susceptible to species loss or turnover (Hidasi-Neto et al. 2012; Gallagher et al. 2013; Ricotta et al. 2016). Nevertheless, a considerable proportion of variation in diversity patterns apart from the functional redundancy remains unexplained. Previous studies have demonstrated other potential factors influencing elevational diversity patterns, including anthropogenic drivers related to propagule pressure, such as reduced human activities and different land use types at higher elevations (Parks et al. 2005; Marini et al. 2013), water-energy dynamics (Jakobs et al. 2010) and area effects (Romdal and Grytnes 2007). Our results thus suggested the necessity of exploring other factors of the elevational gradient to better understand these patterns.

According to our results, the strong biological interactions generated by invasive species outweigh the environmental filtering effect and ultimately lead to invasive species' upward spread trend along elevation. In the context of dramatic global change, alien species are dispersing intentionally or accidentally at a rapid speed. Once they overcome geographical barriers and become invasive, biotic interactions would dominate the process of colonization even in mountainous areas with harsh environments (Cadotte et al. 2018). Thus, an effective prevention practice would be reducing anthropogenic disturbance and the introduction of alien species (Kueffer et al. 2013; Fertakos and Bradley 2024).

Conclusion

By thoroughly examining various aspects of taxonomic or functional α and β diversity, our study provides a more comprehensive exploration of both invasive and native plant diversity patterns in mountain regions, offering new insights into the mechanisms behind invasion events. We found distinct differences between invasive and native species in terms of distribution and diversity variation. Native species demonstrated a stronger elevational preference, with notable species replacement, whereas invasive species at higher elevations were largely subsets of those at lower elevations. While invasive and native species occupied relatively similar α diversity spaces, their β diversity spaces were more significantly differentiated, likely due to

the strong adaptive capacity and more favorable competitive strategies of invasive species. Our study further confirms the utility of the novel diversity decomposition framework to provide a deeper understanding of the factors driving the distinct patterns between invasive and native species across environmental gradients.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

The project was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 32171588 and 32471676 awarded to WYG; grant no. 32301386 awarded to KG), and Shanghai Sailing Program (grant no. 22YF1411700 awarded to KG). This is a part of work based on the BEST (Biodiversity along Elevational gradients: Shifts and Transitions) cooperation network.

Author contributions

Yan-Yan Wang: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Kun Guo: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Funding Acquisition, Writing - Review & Editing. Rui-Ling Liu: Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. Hasigerili: Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. Miao-Miao Zheng: Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. Yuan Gao: Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. Ming-Shui Zhao: Data Curation. Jian Zhang: Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. Wen-Yong Guo: Conceptualization, Project administration, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Methodology, Writing - Original draft, Writing - Review & Editing.

Author ORCIDs

Yan-Yan Wang https://orcid.org/0009-0007-2496-0179
Kun Guo https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9597-2977
Rui-Ling Liu https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0950-5561
Hasigerili https://orcid.org/0009-0004-0084-186X
Miao-Miao Zheng https://orcid.org/0009-0006-2699-1617
Yuan Gao https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8150-1292
Jian Zhang https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0589-6267
Wen-Yong Guo https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6980-1302

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text or Supplementary Information.

References

Alexander JM, Kueffer C, Daehler CC, Edwards PJ, Pauchard A, Seipel T MIREN Consortium (2011) Assembly of nonnative floras along elevational gradients explained by directional ecological filtering. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108(2): 656–661. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013136108

Alexander JM, Lembrechts JJ, Cavieres LA, Daehler C, Haider S, Kueffer C, Liu G, McDougall K, Milbau A, Pauchard A, Rew LJ, Seipel T (2016) Plant invasions into mountains and alpine ecosystems: current status and future challenges. Alpine Botany 126(2): 89–103. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00035-016-0172-8

Arévalo JR, Delgado JD, Otto R, Naranjo A, Salas M, Fernández-Palacios JM (2005) Distribution of alien vs. native plant species in roadside communities along an altitudinal gradient in Tenerife and Gran Canaria (Canary Islands). Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 7(3): 185–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2005.09.003

Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67(1): 1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Biggs CR, Yeager LA, Bolser DG, Bonsell C, Dichiera AM, Hou Z, Keyser SR, Khursigara AJ, Lu K, Muth AF, Negrete Jr B, Erisman BE (2020) Does functional redundancy affect ecological stability and resilience? A review and meta-analysis. Ecosphere 11(7): e03184. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3184

Bishop TR, Robertson MP, van Rensburg BJ, Parr CL (2015) Contrasting species and functional beta diversity in montane ant assemblages. Journal of Biogeography 42(9): 1776–1786. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12537

Blumenthal DM (2006) Interactions between resource availability and enemy release in plant invasion. Ecology Letters 9(7): 887–895. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00934.x

Bowman RA (1988) A rapid method to determine total phosphorus in soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 52(5): 1301–1304. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1988.03615995005200050016x

Bray JR, Curtis JT (1957) An ordination of the upland forest communities of Southern Wisconsin. Ecological Monographs 27(4): 325–349. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942268

Bremner JM (1960) Determination of nitrogen in soil by the Kjeldahl method. Journal of Agricultural Science 55(1): 11–33. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600021572

Cadotte MW, Campbell SE, Li S, Sodhi DS, Mandrak NE (2018) Preadaptation and naturalization of nonnative species: Darwin's two fundamental insights into species invasion. Annual Review of Plant Biology 69(1): 661–684. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042817-040339

Carboni M, Guéguen M, Barros C, Georges D, Boulangeat I, Douzet R, Dullinger S, Klonner G, van Kleunen M, Essl F, Bossdorf O, Haeuser E, Talluto MV, Moser D, Block S, Conti L, Dullinger I, Münkemüller T, Thuiller W (2018) Simulating plant invasion dynamics in mountain ecosystems under global change scenarios. Global Change Biology 24(1): e289–e302. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13879

Cardoso P, Rigal F, Carvalho JC, Fortelius M, Borges PAV, Podani J, Schmera D (2014) Partitioning taxon, phylogenetic and functional beta diversity into replacement and richness difference components. Journal of Biogeography 41(4): 749–761. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12239

Chen Z, Ding B, Li G, Fu C, Yang S (2010) Flora of Tianmu mountain. Zhejiang University Press, Zhejiang.

Daehler CC (2005) Upper-montane plant invasions in the Hawaiian Islands: Patterns and opportunities. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 7(3): 203–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2005.08.002

Dainese M, Aikio S, Hulme PE, Bertolli A, Prosser F, Marini L (2017) Human disturbance and upward expansion of plants in a warming climate. Nature Climate Change 7(8): 577–580. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3337

de Bello F, Lepš J, Lavorel S, Moretti M (2007) Importance of species abundance for assessment of trait composition: an example based on pollinator communities. Community Ecology 8(2): 163–170. https://doi.org/10.1556/ComEc.8.2007.2.3

de Bello F, Carmona CP, Dias ATC, Götzenberger L, Moretti M, Berg MP (2021) Handbook of trait-based ecology: from theory to R tools. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, xi-xiv + 296 pp . https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628426

Diniz LP, Braghin L de SM, Pinheiro TSA, Melo PAM de C, Bonecker CC, Melo Júnior M (2021) Environmental filter drives the taxonomic and functional β-diversity of zooplankton in tropical shallow lakes. Hydrobiologia 848(8): 1881–1895. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-021-04562-5

Divíšek J, Chytrý M, Beckage B, Gotelli NJ, Lososová Z, Pyšek P, Richardson DM, Molofsky J (2018) Similarity of introduced plant species to native ones facilitates naturalization, but differences enhance invasion success. Nature Communications 9(1): 4631. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06995-4

Du Y, Fan L, Xu Z, Wen Z, Cai T, Feijo A, Hu J, Lei F, Yang Q, Qiao H (2021) A multi-faceted comparative perspective on elevational beta-diversity: the patterns and their causes. Proceedings. Biological Sciences 288(1949): 20210343. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0343

Fertakos ME, Bradley BA (2024) Propagule pressure from historic U.S. plant sales explains establishment but not invasion. Ecology Letters 27(8): e14494. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14494

Fukami T, Martijn Bezemer T, Mortimer SR, van der Putten WH (2005) Species divergence and trait convergence in experimental plant community assembly. Ecology Letters 8(12): 1283–1290. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00829.x

Gallagher RV, Hughes L, Leishman MR (2013) Species loss and gain in communities under future climate change: consequences for functional diversity. Ecography 36(5): 531–540. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07514.x

Grime JP (1974) Vegetation classification by reference to strategies. Nature 250(5461): 26–31. https://doi.org/10.1038/250026a0

Grime JP (2006) Trait convergence and trait divergence in herbaceous plant communities: mechanisms and consequences. Journal of Vegetation Science 17(2): 255–260. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2006.tb02444.x

Guo Q, Kelt DA, Sun Z, Liu H, Hu L, Ren H, Wen J (2013) Global variation in elevational diversity patterns. Scientific Reports 3(1): 3007. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03007

Guo Q, Fei S, Shen Z, Iannone BV III, Knott J, Chown SL (2018a) A global analysis of elevational distribution of non-native versus native plants. Journal of Biogeography 45(4): 793–803. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13145

Guo W-Y, van Kleunen M, Winter M, Weigelt P, Stein A, Pierce S, Pergl J, Moser D, Maurel N, Lenzner B, Kreft H, Essl F, Dawson W, Pyšek P (2018b) The role of adaptive strategies in plant naturalization. Ecology Letters 21(9): 1380–1389. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13104

Haider S, Alexander J, Dietz H, Trepl L, Edwards PJ, Kueffer C (2010) The role of bioclimatic origin, residence time and habitat context in shaping non-native plant distributions along an altitudinal gradient. Biological Invasions 12(12): 4003–4018. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-010-9815-7

Haider S, Kueffer C, Bruelheide H, Seipel T, Alexander JM, Rew LJ, Arévalo JR, Cavieres LA, McDougall KL, Milbau A, Naylor BJ, Speziale K, Pauchard A (2018) Mountain roads and non-native species modify elevational patterns of plant diversity. Global Ecology and Biogeography 27(6): 667–678. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12727

Haider S, Lembrechts JJ, McDougall K, Pauchard A, Alexander JM, Barros A, Cavieres LA, Rashid I, Rew LJ, Aleksanyan A, Arévalo JR, Aschero V, Chisholm C, Clark VR, Clavel J, Daehler C, Dar PA, Dietz H, Dimarco RD, Edwards P, Essl F, Fuentes-Lillo E, Guisan A, Gwate O, Hargreaves AL, Jakobs G, Jiménez A, Kardol P, Kueffer C, Larson C, Lenoir J, Lenzner B, Padrón Mederos MA, Mihoc M, Milbau A, Morgan JW, Müllerová J, Naylor BJ, Nijs I, Nuñez MA, Otto R, Preuk N, Ratier Backes A, Reshi ZA, Rumpf SB, Sandoya V, Schroder M, Speziale KL, Urbach D, Valencia G, Vandvik V, Vitková M, Vorstenbosch T, Walker TWN, Walsh N, Wright G, Zong S,

Seipel T (2022) Think globally, measure locally: the MIREN standardized protocol for monitoring plant species distributions along elevation gradients. Ecology and Evolution 12(2): e8590. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8590

Hasigerili, Guo K, Zheng M-M, Liu R-L, Wang Y-Y, Gao Y, Shu L, Wang X-R, Zhang J, Guo W-Y (2023) Intraspecific variations of adaptive strategies of native and invasive plant species along an elevational gradient. Flora 304: 152297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2023.152297

Hidasi-Neto J, Barlow J, Cianciaruso MV (2012) Bird functional diversity and wildfires in the Amazon: the role of forest structure. Animal Conservation 15(4): 407–415. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1795.2012.00528.x

Hillebrand H, Bennett DM, Cadotte MW (2008) Consequences of dominance: a review of evenness effects on local and regional ecosystem processes. Ecology 89(6): 1510–1520. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1053.1

Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P (2008) Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. Biometrical Journal. Biometrische Zeitschrift 50(3): 346–363. https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.200810425

IPBES (2023) Summary for policymakers of the thematic assessment report on invasive alien species and their control of the intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services. In: Roy HE, Pauchard A, Stoett P, Renard Truong T, Bacher S, Galil BS, Hulme PE, Ikeda T, Sankaran K, McGeoch MA, Meyerson LA, Nuñez MA, Ordonez A, Rahlao SJ, Schwindt E, Seebens H, Sheppard AW, Vandvik V (Eds) IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7430692

Iseli E, Chisholm C, Lenoir J, Haider S, Seipel T, Barros A, Hargreaves AL, Kardol P, Lembrechts JJ, McDougall K, Rashid I, Rumpf SB, Arévalo JR, Cavieres L, Daehler C, Dar PA, Endress B, Jakobs G, Jiménez A, Küffer C, Mihoc M, Milbau A, Morgan JW, Naylor BJ, Pauchard A, Ratier Backes A, Reshi ZA, Rew LJ, Righetti D, Shannon JM, Valencia G, Walsh N, Wright GT, Alexander JM (2023) Rapid upwards spread of non-native plants in mountains across continents. Nature Ecology & Evolution 7(3): 405–413. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01979-6

Jakobs G, Kueffer C, Daehler CC (2010) Introduced weed richness across altitudinal gradients in Hawai'i: humps, humans and water-energy dynamics. Biological Invasions 12(12): 4019–4031. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-010-9816-6

Jarzyna MA, Jetz W (2016) Detecting the multiple facets of biodiversity. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 31(7): 527–538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.04.002

Jarzyna MA, Norman KEA, LaMontagne JM, Helmus MR, Li D, Parker SM, Perez Rocha M, Record S, Sokol ER, Zarnetske PL, Surasinghe TD (2022) Community stability is related to animal diversity change. Ecosphere 13(3): e3970. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3970

Jiang M, Kong J, Zhang Z, Hu J, Qin Y, Shang K, Zhao M, Zhang J (2023) Seeing trees from drones: the role of leaf phenology transition in mapping species distribution in species-rich montane forests. Forests 14(5): 908. https://doi.org/10.3390/f14050908

Kosman E (2014) Measuring diversity: from individuals to populations. European Journal of Plant Pathology 138(3): 467–486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-013-0323-3

Kraft NJB, Comita LS, Chase JM, Sanders NJ, Swenson NG, Crist TO, Stegen JC, Vellend M, Boyle B, Anderson MJ, Cornell HV, Davies KF, Freestone AL, Inouye BD, Harrison SP, Myers JA (2011) Disentangling the drivers of β diversity along latitudinal and elevational gradients. Science 333(6050): 1755–1758. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1208584

Kraft NJB, Crutsinger GM, Forrestel EJ, Emery NC (2014) Functional trait differences and the outcome of community assembly: an experimental test with vernal pool annual plants. Oikos 123(11): 1391–1399. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.01311

Kueffer C, McDougall K, Alexander J, Daehler C, Edwards P, Haider S, Milbau A, Parks C, Pauchard A, Reshi ZA, Rew LJ, Schroder M, Seipel T (2013) Plant invasions into mountain protected areas: assessment, prevention and control at multiple spatial scales. In: Foxcroft L, Pyšek P, Rich-

ardson D, Genovesi P (Eds) Springer, Dordrecht, 89–113. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7750-7_6

Lai J, Zou Y, Zhang S, Zhang X, Mao L (2022) glmm.hp: an R package for computing individual effect of predictors in generalized linear mixed models. Journal of Plant Ecology 15(6): 1302–1307. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtac096

Lai J, Zhu W, Cui D, Mao L (2023) Extension of the *glmm.hp* package to zero-inflated generalized linear mixed models and multiple regression. Journal of Plant Ecology 16(6): rtad038. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtad038

Lê S, Josse J, Husson F (2008) FactoMineR: an R package for multivariate analysis. Journal of Statistical Software 25(1): 1–18. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v025.i01

Lee C, Chun J, Cho H (2013) Elevational patterns and determinants of plant diversity in the Baekdudaegan Mountains, South Korea: species vs. functional diversity. Chinese Science Bulletin 58(31): 3747–3759. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-013-5957-1

Lin Q, Xiao C, Ma J (2022) A dataset on catalogue of alien plants in China. Biodiversity Science 30(5): 22127. https://doi.org/10.17520/biods.2022127

Lin H, Wang X, Zhong M, Tang K, Du Y, Xu H, Yi J, Liu W, Hu J (2024) Elevational patterns and assembly processes of multifaceted bird diversity in a subtropical mountain system. Journal of Biogeography 51(2): 1276–1289. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.14827

Liu G, Liu R-L, Zhang W-G, Yang Y-B, Bi X-Q, Li M-Z, Chen X-Y, Nie H, Zhu Z-H (2021) Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization rate of an exotic plant, Galinsoga quadriradiata, in mountain ranges changes with altitude. Mycorrhiza 31(2): 161–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-020-01009-y

Ma J (2020) Aline invasive flora of China (Vols. 1-5). Shanghai Jiao Tong University Press, Shanghai.

MacArthur R, Levins R (1967) The limiting similarity, convergence, and divergence of coexisting species. American Naturalist 101(921): 377–385. https://doi.org/10.1086/282505

Marini L, Bertolli A, Bona E, Federici G, Martini F, Prosser F, Bommarco R (2013) Beta-diversity patterns elucidate mechanisms of alien plant invasion in mountains. Global Ecology and Biogeography 22(4): 450–460. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12006

McDougall KL, Alexander JM, Haider S, Pauchard A, Walsh NG, Kueffer C (2011) Alien flora of mountains: global comparisons for the development of local preventive measures against plant invasions. Diversity & Distributions 17(1): 103–111. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00713.x

Mori AS, Shiono T, Koide D, Kitagawa R, Ota AT, Mizumachi E (2013) Community assembly processes shape an altitudinal gradient of forest biodiversity. Global Ecology and Biogeography 22(7): 878–888. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12058

Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H, Barbour M, Bedward M, Bolker B, Borcard D, Carvalho G, Chirico M, De Caceres M, Durand S, Evangelista HBA, FitzJohn R, Friendly M, Furneaux B, Hannigan G, Hill MO, Lahti L, McGlinn D, Ouellette M-H, Ribeiro Cunha E, Smith T, Stier A, Ter Braak CJF, Weedon J (2024) Vegan: community ecology package. https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.vegan

Ordonez A, Wright IJ, Olff H (2010) Functional differences between native and alien species: a global-scale comparison. Functional Ecology 24(6): 1353–1361. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01739.x

Parks CG, Radosevich SR, Endress BA, Naylor BJ, Anzinger D, Rew LJ, Maxwell BD, Dwire KA (2005) Natural and land-use history of the Northwest mountain ecoregions (USA) in relation to patterns of plant invasions. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 7(3): 137–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2005.09.007

Patrignani A, Ochsner TE (2015) Canopeo: a powerful new tool for measuring fractional green canopy cover. Agronomy Journal 107(6): 2312–2320. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj15.0150

Pauchard A, Kueffer C, Dietz H, Daehler CC, Alexander J, Edwards PJ, Arévalo JR, Cavieres LA, Guisan A, Haider S, Jakobs G, McDougall K, Millar CI, Naylor BJ, Parks CG, Rew LJ, Seipel T (2009) Ain't no mountain high enough: Plant invasions reaching new elevations. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 7(9): 479–486. https://doi.org/10.1890/080072

Pavoine S (2020) adiv: An R package to analyse biodiversity in ecology. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 11(9): 1106–1112. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13430

Perez Rocha M, Bini LM, Domisch S, Tolonen KT, Jyrkänkallio-Mikkola J, Soininen J, Hjort J, Heino J (2018) Local environment and space drive multiple facets of stream macroinvertebrate beta diversity. Journal of Biogeography 45(12): 2744–2754. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13457

Petitpierre B, McDougall K, Seipel T, Broennimann O, Guisan A, Kueffer C (2016) Will climate change increase the risk of plant invasions into mountains? Ecological Applications 26(2): 530–544. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1871

Pyšek P, Richardson DM (2010) Invasive species, environmental change and management, and health. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 35(1): 25–55. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-033009-095548

Pyšek P, Jarošík V, Pergl J, Wild J (2011) Colonization of high altitudes by alien plants over the last two centuries. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108(2): 439–440. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017682108

Qian H, Jin Y, Ricklefs RE (2017) Phylogenetic diversity anomaly in angiosperms between eastern Asia and eastern North America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 114(43): 11452–11457. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1703985114

R Core Team (2023) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Rana SK, Dangwal B, Rawat GS, Price TD (2024) Constructing a database of alien plants in the Himalaya to test patterns structuring diversity. Ecology and Evolution 14(2): e10884. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.10884

Rao CR (1982) Diversity and dissimilarity coefficients: a unified approach. Theoretical Population Biology 21(1): 24–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-5809(82)90004-1

Ratier Backes A, Römermann C, Alexander JM, Arévalo JR, Keil P, Padrón-Mederos MA, Trogisch S, Haider S (2023) Mechanisms behind elevational plant species richness patterns revealed by a trait-based approach. Journal of Vegetation Science 34(1): e13171. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.13171

Ricotta C, Pavoine S (2024) A new look at functional beta diversity. Ecological Indicators 163: 112136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2024.112136

Ricotta C, de Bello F, Moretti M, Caccianiga M, Cerabolini BEL, Pavoine S (2016) Measuring the functional redundancy of biological communities: a quantitative guide. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 7(11): 1386–1395. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12604

Ricotta C, Kosman E, Caccianiga M, Cerabolini BEL, Pavoine S (2021) On two dissimilarity-based measures of functional beta diversity. Ecological Informatics 66: 101458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2021.101458

Ricotta C, Podani J, Schmera D, Bacaro G, Maccherini S, Pavoine S (2023) The ternary diagram of functional diversity. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 14(5): 1168–1174. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.14100

Romdal TS, Grytnes J-A (2007) An indirect area effect on elevational species richness patterns. Ecography 30(3): 440–448. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2007.04954.x

Sabatini FM, Jiménez-Alfaro B, Burrascano S, Lora A, Chytrý M (2018) Beta-diversity of central European forests decreases along an elevational gradient due to the variation in local community assembly processes. Ecography 41(6): 1038–1048. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02809

Shen Y, Zou Y, Song K, Wan X (2024) Dispersal limitation and environmental filtering effects: the taxonomic and functional beta diversity of ground beetles along the altitudinal gradient

in Chinese warm-temperature forests. Ecology and Evolution 14(6): e11492. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.11492

Steyn C, Greve M, Robertson MP, Kalwij JM, le Roux PC (2017) Alien plant species that invade high elevations are generalists: support for the directional ecological filtering hypothesis. Journal of Vegetation Science 28(2): 337–346. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12477

Suding KN, Lavorel S, Chapin Iii FS, Cornelissen JHC, Díaz S, Garnier E, Goldberg D, Hooper DU, Jackson ST, Navas M-L (2008) Scaling environmental change through the community-level: a trait-based response-and-effect framework for plants. Global Change Biology 14(5): 1125–1140. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01557.x

Swenson NG, Anglada-Cordero P, Barone JA (2011) Deterministic tropical tree community turnover: evidence from patterns of functional beta diversity along an elevational gradient. Proceedings. Biological Sciences 278(1707): 877–884. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1369

Tello JS, Myers JA, Macía MJ, Fuentes AF, Cayola L, Arellano G, Loza MI, Torrez V, Cornejo M, Miranda TB, Jørgensen PM (2015) Elevational gradients in β-diversity reflect variation in the strength of local community assembly mechanisms across spatial scales. PLoS ONE 10(3): e0121458. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121458

Thakur D, Chawla A (2019) Functional diversity along elevational gradients in the high altitude vegetation of the western Himalaya. Biodiversity and Conservation 28(8–9): 1977–1996. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01728-5

Tilman D (2001) Functional diversity. In: Levin SA (Ed.) Encyclopedia of Biodiversity. Elsevier, New York, 109–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-226865-2/00132-2

van Kleunen M, Weber E, Fischer M (2010) A meta-analysis of trait differences between invasive and non-invasive plant species. Ecology Letters 13(2): 235–245. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01418.x

Whittaker RH (1960) Vegetation of the Siskiyou Mountains, Oregon and California. Ecological Monographs 30(3): 279–338. https://doi.org/10.2307/1943563

Whittaker RH (1972) Evolution and measurement of species diversity. Taxon 21(2–3): 213–251. https://doi.org/10.2307/1218190

Wickham H, Chang W, Henry L, Pedersen TL, Takahashi K, Wilke C, Woo K, Yutani H, Dunnington D, Brand T van den (2024) ggplot2: Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics.

Wild J, Kopecký M, Macek M, Šanda M, Jankovec J, Haase T (2019) Climate at ecologically relevant scales: a new temperature and soil moisture logger for long-term microclimate measurement. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 268: 40–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.12.018

Yang M, Lu Z, Fan Z, Liu X, Hens L, De Wulf R, Ou X (2018) Distribution of non-native plant species along elevation gradients in a protected area in the eastern Himalayas, China. Alpine Botany 128(2): 169–178. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00035-018-0205-6

Zhang J-T, Li M, Nie E (2014) Pattern of functional diversity along an altitudinal gradient in the Baihua Mountain Reserve of Beijing, China. Revista Brasileira de Botânica. Brazilian Journal of Botany 37(1): 37–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-014-0047-4

Zhang W, Yin D, Huang D, Du N, Liu J, Guo W, Wang R (2015) Altitudinal patterns illustrate the invasion mechanisms of alien plants in temperate mountain forests of northern China. Forest Ecology and Management 351: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.05.004

Zhang L, Liu X, Sun Z, Bu W, Bongers FJ, Song X, Yang J, Sun Z, Li Y, Li S, Cao M, Ma K, Swenson NG (2023) Functional trait space and redundancy of plant communities decrease toward cold temperature at high altitudes in Southwest China. Science China. Life Sciences 66(2): 376–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-021-2135-3

Zheng M-M, Pyšek P, Guo K, Hasigerili, Guo W-Y (2024) Clonal alien plants in the mountains spread upward more extensively and faster than non-clonal. NeoBiota 91: 29–48. https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.91.115675

Appendix 1



Figure A1. The result of the principal component analysis (PCA), with cos2 representing the magnitude of the correlation between the environmental factors and the principal component.

Supplementary material 1

Data utilized for the analysis

Authors: Yan-Yan Wang, Kun Guo, Rui-Ling Liu, Hasigerili, Miao-Miao Zheng, Yuan Gao, Ming-Shui Zhao, Jian Zhang, Wen-Yong Guo

Data type: xlsx

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.99.143495.suppl1